Share It — A New Paradigm for Interactions with Intelligent Cognitive Assistants

Nele Borgert

Nele.Borgert@hs-ruhrwest.de University of Applied Sciences Ruhr West Institute of Positive Computing Bottrop, Germany

ABSTRACT

This research project tests Shared Decision Making (SDM) as a new work-psychological paradigm for interactions between humans and Intelligent Cognitive Assistants (ICAs) in decision-making processes. The increasing interplay between employees and AI demonstrates a need for a new principal approach that incorporates specific and promising steps to create a master design of Human-Computer-Interactions (HCIs) in decision-making contexts.

CCS CONCEPTS & KEYWORDS

- Human-centered computing → Empirical studies in HCI
- HCI, ICAs, knowledge worker, shared decision making

1 INTRODUCTION

The broad scope of interests in artificial intelligence points to its conclusive underlying significance as the automation of decisionmaking. This topic most notably includes Intelligent Cognitive Assistants (ICAs), which offer guidance and provide support for cognitive tasks (i.e. cognitive workload and biases). An implementation of ICAs at the knowledge workplace could qualitatively revolutionize cross-cutting issues (e.g. organization of and within teams). Increasing the productivity of knowledge workers has been recognized as one of the most important management functions in the 21st-century [1]. The goal is to design the interaction between human and ICA to a degree where decision-making processes achieve optimal results. Shared Decision Making (SDM) stems from the medical field and outlines a method to improve decision-making amongst patients and doctors (see Figure 1). It has shown to positively influence important factors [3, 4]. While SDM is a method used in the clinician-patient context, we will look at the HCI and the desirable role SDM can have in this new context. Hence, we postulate SDM as a step-wise framework for ICAs in order to empower its application by meeting engineering needs of providing specific interaction patterns.

2 METHODS

To classify the specific demands of knowledge workers for ICAs, we assess routine decision processes (examining psychological job design and inconvenient characteristics of decision situations via an online questionnaire), followed by a detailed analysis of the decision structure (a qualitative task analysis with a participatory software development approach accomplished through the SeeMe method). These will constitute the two exploratory pre-studies. Following, the effects of SDM as a paradigm for HCIs will be experimentally

Stefan Holtel

Stefan.Holtel@pwc.com PricewaterhouseCoopers GmbH DigiSpace Munich, Germany



Figure 1: A three step model to achieve SDM [2]

tested with the help of a Wizard-of-Oz setting. This will be a laboratory study with randomized control trials that is constructed as a between-subject design and utilizes one factor: SDM support or no support. Individual decision quality and subjective consequences of the SDM implementation in ICAs are of evaluative importance.

3 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In the HCI context, we suggest SDM as a methodology to design the ICA due to its contribution to the individual's decision-making processes (see Figure 2). To begin with, our current studies must initially verify our suggestion.



Figure 2: SDM for ICAs and implicated results

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The present work is the topic of a master thesis at the Ruhr-University Bochum and is continued in cooperation with the Institute of Positive Computing (funded by the Ministry of Culture and Science) under the supervision of Prof. Dr. Sabrina Eimler.

REFERENCES

- Linda Argote and Paul Ingram. 2000. Knowledge Transfer: A Basis for Competitive Advantage in Firms. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes 82, 1 (2000), 150–169.
- [2] Glyn Elwyn and et al. 2012. Shared decision making: a model for clinical practice. Journal of general internal medicine 27, 10 (2012), 1361–1367.
- [3] Dawn Stacey and et al. 2017. Decision aids for people facing health treatment or screening decisions: Review. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews 4 (2017), CD001431.
- [4] Sandra R. Wilson and et al. 2010. Shared treatment decision making improves adherence and outcomes in poorly controlled asthma. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine 181, 6 (2010), 566–577.